1. Introduction

Tractors play a fundamental role in agriculture as the main power resource for operation with various add-on agriculture machinery. The most energy and labor intensive among agro-technological operations is soil plowing. The trend in a plow design favors tractors with substantial power [24, 26], but ultimately it is determined by the size and construction of the machinery satisfying the needs of smaller farms [19].

Optimal tractor and machinery parameter selection, as a function of various field operations, not only improves the economics of such activities, but also reduces exhaust pollution and other negative environmental effects. The power requirements and energy consumption may be reduced through optimizing power characteristics and engine parameters [2, 3, 11, 31].

The CO₂ emissions may be reduced by minimizing idling states of the engine and maximizing the engine work load. The operational engine parameters are engine speed, transmission gear ratios and engine torque [1, 14]. The experience and reaction time of the tractor operator also play an important role in maintaining the actual power utilization of agriculture tractor engine as a function of field size: A (26 ha), B (12.74 ha), C (3.22 ha). Statistical data clustering, a relatively novel approach in studies on actual utilization of engine power, was used. A positive correlation was observed between field size and the active state of the engine: 75.2% field A; 68.8% field B; 46.8% field C. The actual power utilization of agriculture tractor engine as a function of field size was 0.62, 0.58 and 0.39 respectively for the three fields used in this study. With this evaluation approach, performance indexes of operational power performance in various conditions were obtained for possible use in optimization of plowing operations.
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2. Methodology

The study took place during the 2012 plowing season on the Agrofirma agricultural farm (Witkowo, Poland) for rapeseed sowing. The experimental setup included three fields (Fig. 1). The physical parameters of the three fields’ soils were measured at the time of the plowing operations and are included in Table 1 [17].

This paper presents a comparative study of power performance of a 230 kW tractor model John Deere 8330 subject to soil plowing operations on the three fields. The tractor was pulling a Lemken semi-mounted reversible, full moldboard 7-furrows EuroDiamant plow unit combined with a Campbell soil compaction roller tiller. All operations involved the same one tractor operator reducing any comparative discrepancy in experiment results.
2. Analysis method

The operational parameters (the engine speed, torque and power, fuel consumption and GPS position) were monitored with digital sensors with 1 Hz frequency using Siemens VDO - EDM 1404.01 measuring system [7]. The readings were used to calculate nominal and operational power of the engine. There are many methods of finding engine torque \( M_e \) indirectly [5, 8, 16, 22, 27, 32-34]. In our study, a method patented by the West Pomeranian University of Technology in Szczecin was utilized. In our view this method is unique and quite suitable for practical use in field operations [15, 16]. Other known methods focus more on theoretical analysis or represent laboratory experimental findings. In this study, the engine torque \( M_e \) parameter was evaluated indirectly based on the measurement of fuel consumption and engine crankshaft rotations:

\[
M_e = a \cdot g_{1000}^1 + b \cdot g_{1000}^2 + c \cdot g_{1000}^3 + d, \tag{1}
\]

where:

\[
g_{1000} = \frac{V_{fuel}}{n_s} \cdot 1000, \tag{2}
\]

\( M_e \) – engine torque in Nm, 
\( g_{1000} \) – fuel consumption in dm\(^3\) per 1000 crankshaft revolutions, 
\( a, b, c, d \) – coefficients subject to the engine type and rpm (Table 2), 
\( V_{fuel} \) – fuel consumption, dm\(^3\)/min, 
\( n_s \) – engine speed, rpm.

The values of torque were obtained directly with appropriate coefficient units to satisfy equation (2).

Engine utilization was evaluated with:

\[
E_N = \frac{N_u}{N_{nom}} \cdot \frac{U_t}{100\%}, \tag{3}
\]

where:

\( E_N \) – engine utilization, 
\( N_u \) – plowing operation engine power, kW, 
\( N_{nom} \) – nominal engine power, kW, 
\( U_t \) – engine plowing operation time in relation to total engine operation time, %.

Table 2. Engine coefficients \( a, b, c, d \) – dyno test bench verified [16]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine speed range ( n_s ), rpm</th>
<th>Coefficient Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( a ), Nm/dm(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 950</td>
<td>-7.10(^{-6})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950 – 1250</td>
<td>-6.10(^{-6})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250 – 1550</td>
<td>-8.10(^{-6})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1550 – 1850</td>
<td>-1.10(^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1850 – 2150</td>
<td>-1.10(^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 2150</td>
<td>-7.10(^{-6})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The engine actual torque values were obtained from (1) and (2). The measurements of relative time of engine operation are presented in Fig. 2, 3, 4 relative to total time of engine operation [6] and based on (4):

$$TD_{i,j} = \frac{t_{i,j}}{t_c} \cdot 100\%,$$

where:
- $TD_{i,j}$ – Relative time of engine operation (Time Density), %,
- $i$ – Index of the engine speed coordinate with $\Delta n_s = 100$ rpm,
- $j$ – Index of the engine torque with $\Delta M_o = 50$ Nm,
- $t_{i,j}$ – time of operation at $(i,j)$,
- $t_c$ – total time of engine operation.

Since the comparison and interpretation of the $TD$ distribution plots (Fig. 2, 3, 4) may not be straightforward, a statistical data clustering ($k$-means full binding) method was used to obtain parameters for better quantitative comparison of effective utilization of the engine power at selected points $(n_s, M_o)$. A program Statistica [30] with 67450 measurement points was used to generate the results.

### 3. Results

The measurements of relative time of engine operation as a function of engine torque and engine speed are presented in Fig. 2, 3 and 4. For better visualization of the relative time of engine operation, the plots for fields A, B and C are normalized to show the measurements within 1% range of $TD$.

Two engine operative states were considered: idle and field operation. A quantitative comparison of the time duration of the engine states was obtained also using the statistical data clustering approach, with results shown in Fig. 5. Four clusters were obtained as a function of engine speed $n_s$ and torque $M_o$, as well as field size and the corresponding engine state of operation.

For fields A and B, the resulting clusters were comparatively close to each other, as opposed to the cluster locations of field C (Fig. 5). The A and B cluster location coordinates (associated with engine speed and torque) correspond to the engine plowing operation. For clusters variance analysis was performed. It showed strong difference between clusters (Table 3).

### Table 3. Variance analysis for the clusters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Field A</th>
<th></th>
<th>Field B</th>
<th></th>
<th>Field C</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>$p$-Value</td>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>$p$-Value</td>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>$p$-Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_s$</td>
<td>143565.8</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4148320</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1432431</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_o$</td>
<td>140147.9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7711079</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1432431</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases</td>
<td>39833</td>
<td></td>
<td>20535</td>
<td></td>
<td>7082</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 2. Relative time of engine operation on field A](image)

![Fig. 3. Relative time of engine operation on field B](image)

![Fig. 4. Relative time of engine operation on field C](image)

![Fig. 5. Engine operation clusters for fields A, B and C; A1, ... C4 – cluster name, % – relative time of engine operation in a cluster](image)
Positive correlation (0.92) at $R^2 = 0.85$ was attained for plowing state functional relation of plowing vs. idling state share to the field size. The interoperation or transient states intervals for each field clustered within 200 rpm and 100 Nm ranges of engine speed and torque (Fig. 6). The coordinates of engine for both plowing and idling state intervals for each field clustered within 200 rpm and 100 Nm ranges of engine speed and torque (Fig. 6). The interoperation or transient states spread over 600 rpm and 350 Nm ranges. A Pearson correlation coefficient was obtained to evaluate the functional relation of plowing vs. idling state share to the field size. Positive correlation (0.92) at $R^2 = 0.85$ was attained for plowing state and strongly negative ($-0.85$) at $R^2 = 0.72$ for idling.

4. Discussion

The plowing operations comprise 30% of tractor engine operation while its power is not fully utilized [13, 18]. Continuous monitoring and analysis of economy of agriculture activities contributes to the minimization of energy usage [25]. Engine torque monitoring represents one of the key parameters in such analysis. Since its measurement requires specialized instrumentation setup, various indirect approaches have been explored [5]. Many such studies have presented their results in a general matrix form and fuel consumption profiles. In the evaluation approach here, performance indexes of operational power performance in various conditions have been presented. Implemented, they can contribute to optimal gear selection through visual display of actual engine power and to “gear up and throttle down” driving approach in transient engine states, possibly resulting in up to 20% fuel savings [4]. The actual engine utilization parameter may also be helpful in optimal tractor selection in terms of cost, as well as match of its engine power to target farm.

Our study, based on a theoretical model of engine optimal points of operation [4], implements a novel statistical data clustering and modern measurement technology approach. The time distributions of engine operation presented here confirm other studies [9, 10] validating our methodological approach. They may also help in modelling agriculture tractor engine load cycles [6], which in turn are used in evaluation of engine emissions.

Conclusions:

- The statistical data clustering approach to quantitative comparison of the effective time duration of the various engine modes of operation and fields used in this study enabled more precise evaluation of the actual power utilization of agriculture tractor engine, as a function of field size, than theoretical and simulation approaches.
- The presented statistical approach may have practical applications as an optimization tool in a more effective utilization of various add-on agriculture machinery through a visualization driver support system for optimal gear selection.
- A strong positive correlation was observed between the field size and engine plowing state, while a negative correlation was observed in the case of idling engine state of operation.
- The actual power utilization of agriculture tractor engine as a function of field size was 0.62, 0.58 and 0.39 respectively for the three fields used in this study.
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